

New File - WSE - Track Speed

Ken Bagshaw

From: Ken Bagshaw
 Sent: March 25, 2009 1:34 PM
 To: Craig Lehto
 Subject: FW: Speeds on the track in Whistler

- See you to discuss

Craig, I thought you should see this email trail since it triggered my questions to you. I understand you will send along the analysis of the varying speeds exceeding spec in various events. Ken

From: Tim Gayda
 Sent: March 25, 2009 10:44 AM
 To: John Furlong; Cathy Priestner Allinger
 Cc: Ken Bagshaw; Dan Doyle
 Subject: RE: Speeds on the track in Whistler

I don't believe there is anything to do. Bottom line is the track really is about 10 km faster than what was originally anticipated. The FIL just wants to get some answers from Mr. Gurgle who designed it to see what their answer is. The FIL are not expecting to get an answer or if they do it will be from Gurgle's lawyer. Their concern is they do not want this to happen in Sochi.

Thanks,

Tim

From: John Furlong
 Sent: March 24, 2009 3:56 PM
 To: Cathy Priestner Allinger
 Cc: Ken Bagshaw; Tim Gayda; Dan Doyle
 Subject: RE: Speeds on the track in Whistler

So after my usual seven second delay on this --- While I'm inclined to ignore this as not our deal - imbedded in this note (cryptic as it may be) is a warning that the track is in their view too fast and someone could get badly hurt. An athlete gets badly injured or worse and I think the case could be made we were warned and did nothing. That said I'm not sure where the exit sign or way out is on this.

Our legal guys should review at least.

John

From: Cathy Priestner Allinger
 Sent: March 24, 2009 8:43 AM
 To: Dan Doyle; John Furlong
 Subject: FW: Speeds on the track in Whistler

FYI, no action required at this time.

25/03/2009